

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,415	06/05/2001		Peter James Sutherland Goldsack	B-4199 618841-6 8918	
7590 03/14/2005		03/14/2005		EXAMINER	
Richard P. Berg				TORRES, JOSEPH D	
c/o Ladas & Pa 21st Floor	arry	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5670 Wilshire Boulevard				2133	
Los Angeles, CA 90036				DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/875,415	GOLDSACK ET AL.					
Office Action Su	ımmary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Joseph D. Torres	2133					
	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE MAILING DATE OF THI. - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing. - If the period for reply specified above is. - If NO period for reply is specified above. - Failure to reply within the set or extend. Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(3) 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to commun	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	<i>,</i> —	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)	s) <u>22</u> is/are withdrawn fr /are allowed. ejected. bjected to.		,					
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is obje								
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 June 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.								
		frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	• ,					
		on is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office	• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Patent and Tradeport Office S Patent And Trad	wing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-21 and 23, drawn to A method of making measurements of block error ratio in a layered protocol communications system, the block error ratio being a ratio of a number of blocks received with one or more errors to a total number of blocks received, the method comprising: monitoring ack/nack messages sent in response to the message blocks to determine whether the message blocks have been correctly transported; and calculating said block error rate ratio measurements based at least in part on the monitored ack/nack messages, classified in class 714, subclass 704.
 - II. Claim 22, drawn to A communication system where by at least some of the message blocks are intentionally constructed to be discarded following receipt, classified in class 714, subclass 712.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group I has separate utility such as for monitoring transmission quality of a transmission channel. In

the instant case, invention Group II has separate utility such as for testing a transmission. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Richard Berg on 3/7/2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-21 and 23.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claim 22 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-21 and 23 are allowed.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Torres whose telephone number is (571) 272-3829. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2133

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



